Superpendentic Index: A Novel Topological Descriptor for Predicting Biological Activity

S. Gupta and M. Singh

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Drug Research, Punjabi University, Patiala -147 002, India

A. K. Madan*

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, M.D. University, Rohtak - 124001, India

Received April 15, 1998

A simple highly degenerating, pendenticity based, topological descriptor termed as *superpendentic index* has been conceptualized and its discriminating power investigated with regard to antiulcer activity. A data set consisting of 128 analogues of 4-substituted-2-guanidino thiazoles was selected for the present study. These analogues are reversible, competitive, and selective inhibitors of gastric H^+, K^+ -ATPase enzyme. The value of *superpendentic index* of each analogue in the data set was computed and active range was identified. The biological activity assigned to each analogue using *superpendentic index* was subsequently compared with the reported in vitro and in vivo inhibitory activities. The accuracy of classification of analogues based on in vivo activity was found to be 82% in the active range using superpendentic index.

INTRODUCTION

Genesis of structure—activity relationship (SAR) studies dates back to the finding that structure of a molecule plays an important role in determining its biological activity. Today SAR is a tool to develop safer and potent drugs. The emphasis is on minimum expenditure, conservation of time, and a growing concern against sacrifice of animals.¹ SARs are models that relate structural aspects of a molecule to its physicochemical or biological properties.

The inherent problem in SAR is that it is difficult to quantify chemical structures. Molecular topology when applied to SAR essentially involves translation of chemical structures into characteristic numerical descriptors.^{2–7} These descriptors known as topological indices are derived from information based on connectivity of a molecule. Although over 120 topological indices are reported, only a handful of them have been widely employed—Randić's molecular connectivity index,^{8,9} Hosaya's index,^{10,11} Balaban's index,^{12–15} Wiener index, and its modifications.^{16,17} Topological indices developed for predicting physicochemical properties and biological activities, of chemical substances, can be used for drug design.^{18–24}

In the present study, a pendenticity based, new topological descriptor termed as *superpendentic index* has been proposed. *Superpendentic index* is computed from *pendent matrix*. Pendent matrix, D_P , of a graph *G* is a submatrix of distance matrix obtained by retaining the columns corresponding to pendent vertexes. *Superpendentic index*, denoted by \int^P , of a hydrogen suppressed molecular graph can be defined as the square root of the sum of products of nonzero row elements in the pendent matrix

$$\int^{\mathbf{p}} = \{\sum_{i=1,j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{(i,j)}\}^{0.5}$$

where $P_{(i,j)}$ is length of the path that contains the least number

of edges between vertex i and vertex j in graph G; m and n are maximum possible numbers of i and j, respectively. Calculation of *superpendentic index* for three isomers of pentane is shown in Figure 1.

The Wiener index of a hydrogen suppressed molecular graph is defined as the sum of the elements in a distance matrix

$$W = \frac{1}{2} \{ \sum_{i=1,j=1}^{n} P_{(ij)} \}$$

where $P_{(i,j)}$ is length of the path that contains the least number of edges between vertex *i* and vertex *j* in graph G; *n* is the maximum possible number of *i* and *j*.

In the present study the utility of a novel topological descriptor, *superpendentic index*, has been investigated with regard to antiulcer activity of 4-substituted-2-guanidino thiazoles, and the results have been compared with the distance based Wiener's index. Ulcers are believed to result from an imbalance between offensive factors (acid, bile reflux, and antiinflammatory drugs) and defensive factors (bicarbonate, carbonic anhydrase inhibitors, and mucus) in gastroduodenal mucosa.²⁵ The potential strategies²⁶ for treating peptic ulcers are

•shielding the mucosa using cytoprotective agents e.g., sucralfate;

•neutralization of gastric acid using antacids i.e., magnesium and aluminum hydroxides;

•suppression of gastric acid secretion using H_2 -receptor antagonists e.g., ranitidine, famotidine, roxatidine, or H^+K^+ -ATPase inhibitors such as omeprazole.

Gastric acid secretion is suppressed by blocking histamine (H_2) receptors. Histamine is one of the three key messengers that stimulate acid secretion (other two being acetylcholine and gastrin). Acid secretion is also suppressed by inhibition of the enzyme, H^+K^+ -ATPase, that catalyzes the terminal



Figure 1. Calculation of superpendentic index values for three isomers of pentane.



Figure 2. Basic structures of 4-substituted-2-guanidino thiazoles.

step in gastric acid secretion. H₂-receptors are present through out the body, whereas H⁺K⁺-ATPase is located predominantly in parietal cells of the stomach. Thus, H⁺K⁺-ATPase inhibitors (omeprazole) exhibit site specific action but their prolonged duration of action can lead to serious side effects as a result of elevation in plasma gastrin levels (gastric carcinoids).²⁷ In such circumstances reversible inhibitors (4substituted-2-guanidino thiazoles) of H⁺K⁺-ATPase are useful. The present study has been carried out using a data set consisting of 128 analogues of 4-substituted-2- guanidino thiazoles.²⁸

METHODOLOGY

All 128 4-substituted-2-guanidino thiazoles reported by LaMattina et al. were selected as a data set for testing the utility of superpendentic index. This data set comprised of both active and inactive compounds. The basic structures of 4-substituted-2-guanidino thiazoles have been depicted in Figure 2.

The values of superpendentic index were computed for each analogue using hydrogen suppressed structure. The index values were arranged according to ascending order, and the resulting data were analyzed to identify active range. Subsequently, each analogue was assigned a biological activity which was then compared with the reported²⁸ in vitro antiulcer activities of 128 compounds and in vivo antiulcer activities of 85 compounds. In vitro activity was quantitatively reported as percent inhibition of gastric H⁺K⁺-ATPase enzyme at 50 μ mol. Compounds exhibiting more than or equal to 89% inhibition of enzyme were considered to be active. In vivo activity was reported as IC₅₀ (concentration in μ mol causing 50% inhibition of canine gastric H⁺K⁺-ATPase). Compounds possessing IC_{50} less than or equal to 5 μ mol were considered to be active. The percent degree of classification for each range was computed from the ratio of number of compounds with correctly classified activity to that of the number of compounds present in the range. The overall degree of classification was obtained from the ratio of total number of compounds with correctly predicted activity to that of total number of compounds present in both the active and inactive ranges.

The values of Wiener's index were computed for each analogue using hydrogen suppressed structure, and the aforementioned procedure was used to assign antiulcer activities. The results are summarized in Tables 1-3.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The use of topological descriptors in predicting the physicochemical properties and biological properties is well

Table 1. Relationship of Superpendentic Index and Wiener's Index with Antiulcer Activity of 4-Substituted-2-guanidino Thiazoles

								antiulcer activity		У		
compd	basic							assigned			reported	
no.	structure	\mathbb{R}^1	\mathbb{R}^2	R ³	\mathbb{R}^4	W	∫p	W	∫p	in vitro	in vivo ^b	
1	T	$(H_2N)_2C=N$	Me			392	33,955	_	_	_	_	
2	Ī	Me	Me			196	11.135	_	_	_	NA	
3	Ι	NH_2	Me			196	11.135	-	-	-	NA	
4	I	$(H_2N)_2C=N$	CHO			477	40.187	-	_	—	_	
5	I T	Me	CHO			252	13.038	_	_		NA	
6 7	I II	NH ₂ H	CHU PhCH.	н		252	15.038	_	_	_ _	NA _	
8	П	H	p-ClPhCH ₂	H		1442	61.668	_	_	+	_	
9	II	H	<i>n</i> -hexyl	H		1168	58.881	_	_	+	_	
10	II	Н	n-hexyl	Me		1281	141.711	—	_	—	NA	
11	II	Me	<i>n</i> -hexyl	Н		1293	65.795	—	—	—	NA	
12	II	H	$-(CH_2)_5-$			900	21.213	—	_	-	NA	
13		$-(CH_2)_2-$		H Ma		563	9.486	_	_	_	NA	
14	11 11	$-(CH_2)_2 - (CH_2)_2 - (CH_2)_2$		DhCH.		034 1644	19.078	_ _	_	_	NA NA	
16	III	Н	н	H	Н	322	19.026	_	_	_	NA	
17	III	H	H	H	CH ₂ NMe ₂	681	104.086	_	_	+	_	
18	III	Н	Н	Н	$CO(NC_5H_{10})$	1170	67.364	—	_	—	—	
19	III	Н	Н	Н	$CH_2(NC_5H_{10})$	1064	35.242	_	_	_	NA	
20	III	Н	Н	Me	Me	465	67.549	-	_	-	_	
21	III	H	Н	Me	СНО	554	78.204	_	_	_	NA	
22		H	H	PhSO ₂	Me	1392	319.106		_	+	+ N A	
23 24		$PIICH_2$	п Ме	PhSO ₂ H	Me	5145 451	515.554 65.215		_	- +		
24	III	Ph	Me	H	Me	1180	60 522	_	_	_	_	
26	III	PhCH ₂	Me	H	Me	1384	71.895	_	_	_	_	
27	III	4-MePhCH ₂	Me	Н	Me	1577	144.274	+	_	+	+	
28	III	PhCH ₂ CH ₂	Me	Н	Me	1611	84.539	+	_	-	-	
29	III	Н	Me	Н	СНО	543	79.724	-	_	-	-	
30	IV	H	Н	H	H	322	19.026	_	_	-	-	
31		PhCH ₂	H	H Ma	H	1106	9.899	_	_	_	_	
32		П PhCH.	н	Me	н ц	392 1261	33.933 26.267	_	_	_	_	
34	IV	H	H	Me	CO ₂ Et	882	224 541	_	_	+	_	
35	IV	Н	Н	Me	CONMe ₂	865	517.820	_	_	_	NA	
36	IV	Н	Н	Me	CH ₂ NMe ₂	768	214.858	_	_	-	_	
37	IV	Н	Me	Me	Н	456	63.906	-	_	-	NA	
38	IV	Н	PhSO ₂	Me	H	1311	289.815	-	_	+	-	
39	IV	PhCH ₂	PhSO ₂	Me	Н	3001	289.772	a	_	_	-	
40	V	H DhCU.	H U	H U		383 1245	32.848	_	_	+	_	
42	v	H	H	PhSO ₂		1383	313.004	_		+	+	
43	v	PhCH ₂	H	PhSO ₂		3129	300.586	<i>a</i>	_	_	ŇA	
44	V	Η	Me	Н		441	64.498	_	_	+	+	
45	V	PhCH ₂	Me	Н		1367	69.397	—	—	—	—	
46	V	H	Me	PhSO ₂		1512	867.308	+	_	+	+	
47	V	PhCH ₂	Me	$PhSO_2$		3322	911.528	a		_	NA	
48 40		П PhCH.	н	п ц		393 1262	21.047	_	_	_	NA	
50	VI	H	H	2-Cl		458	37.229	_	_	_	-	
51	VI	H	H	3-Cl		467	37.788	_	_	_	_	
52	VI	Н	Н	4-Cl		476	38.755	—	_	—	NA	
53	VI	Н	Н	4-F		476	38.755	—	—	—	—	
54	VI	PhCH ₂	Н	2-Cl		1405	25.768	—	_	+	_	
55 56		PhCH ₂	H	3-Cl		1421	26.888	_	_	_	NA NA	
57	VI	PIICH ₂ PhCH ₂	п	4-CI 4-E		1437	28.000	_	_	_	NΔ	
58	VI	H	Н	2-Me		458	37.229	_	_	_	_	
59	VI	H	H	2-CH ₂ NMe ₂		737	111.332	_	_	_	NA	
60	VI	Н	Н	3-Me		467	37.788	-	_	_	_	
61	VI	Н	Н	3-CH ₂ NMe ₂		773	115.086	-	-	-	_	
62	VI	Н	Н	4-Me		476	38.755	-	-	-	NA	
63	VI	H	H	4-Ph		1053	35.242	-	-	_	NA	
64 65	VI VI	PhCH ₂	н ч	2-Me		1405	23.768 26.800	_	_	+	NI A	
66	VI VI	PhCH ₂	H	4-Me		1421	20.000 28.000	_	_	_	NA	
67	VI	PhCH ₂	H	4-Ph		2537	13.304	a	_	_	NA	
68	VI	Η	Н	3-NH ₂		467	37.788	_	_	-	NA	
69	VI	Н	Н	3-NMe ₂		649	93.781	-	-	-	NA	

Tabl	le 1	Continu	ed

									antiulcer activity		
aamnd	hasia							assi	assigned reported		
no	structure	\mathbb{R}^1	\mathbb{R}^2	\mathbb{R}^3	\mathbb{R}^4	W	Cp	W	<u>rp</u>	in vitro	in vivo ^b
70	Ju			4 3 111		17.6	J	,,	J	in vitro	111 1110
70	VI	H	H	4-NH ₂		476	38.755	_	—	_	—
/1	VI VI	H	H	$4-NMe_2$		6/6	99.724	_	_	—	_
72	VI VI	PhCH ₂	H	$4-NH_2$		1437	28.000	_	_	—	_
73	VI VI	п	п	2-OMe		557	43.703		_		
74	VI	п u	п	4 OMe		575	44.393	_	_	_	_
75	VI	DhCH.	и П	2 OMe		1571	45.455	+	_	+	+
70	VI	PhCH.	и П	2-OMe		1603	27.940	+	+	- -	_
78	VI	n pentul	Ц	3 OMe		1282	29.000 65.810	_	_	_	NΛ
79	VI	PhCH ₂	Н	J-OMe		1635	30.049	+	+	_	NΔ
80	VI	H	H	$3.4-(OMe)_{2}$		755	102 200	_	_	_	NA
81	VI	PhCH ₂	H	$3.4-(OMe)_2$		1992	96 161	a	_	_	NA
82	VI	H	Н	2-OH		458	37 229	_	_	_	NA
83	VI	Н	Н	3-OH		467	37.788	_	_	_	_
84	VI	Н	Н	4-OH		476	38,755	_	_	_	_
85	VI	PhCH ₂	H	2-OH		1405	25.768	_	_	_	_
86	VI	PhCH ₂	Н	3-OH		1421	26.888	_	_	_	NA
87	VI	PhCH ₂	Н	4-OH		1437	28.000	_	_	_	NA
88	VI	H	Н	3.4-(OH) ₂		553	77.246	_	_	+	+
89	VI	PhCH ₂	Н	3.4-(OH) ₂		1599	86.758	+	_	+	+
90	VI	Н	Me	3.4-(OH) ₂		625	150.033	_	_	+	+
91	VI	PhCH ₂	Me	3,4-(OH) ₂		1735	253.392	+	_	+	+
92	VII	Η	Н	, (,2		640	26.907	_	_	_	_
93	VII	PhCH ₂	Н			1178	11.661	-	-	_	_
94	VII	PhCH ₂	5-Cl			1983	31.064	a	+	+	_
95	VII	Н	5-F			746	51.205	_	_	_	+
96	VII	PhCH ₂	5-F			1983	31.064	<u>_</u> a	+	—	NA
97	VIII	Н	Η	Н		622	26.229	—	_	+	_
98	VIII	PhCH ₂	Н	Н		1745	11.575	+	-	+	+
99	VIII	Η	Me	Н		699	58.266	-	-	+	_
100	VIII	PhCH ₂	Me	Н		1887	28.160	+	+	_	NA
101	VIII	Н	Η	5-OMe		835	57.445	—	-	—	—
102	VIII	PhCH ₂	Н	5-OMe		2150	32.357	<u>_</u> a	+	+	+
103	VIII	Н	Н	5-OCH ₂ Ph		1858	44.810	+	-	—	+
104	VIII	PhCH ₂	Н	5-OCH ₂ Ph		3872	15.362	a	-	+	+
105	VIII	Η	Н	2-Me		701	50.635	_	-	_	-
106	VIII	$PhCH_2$	Η	2-Me		1903	28.142	+	+	+	+
107	VIII	Н	Me	2-Me,5-Cl		893	208.374	-	-	+	+
108	VIII	PhCH ₂	Me	2-Me,5-Cl		2251	247.951	a	_	+	+
109	VIII	PhCH ₂	Н	4-Me		1916	28.653	+	+	+	+
110	VIII	H	H	5-Me		719	49.909	_	_	_	+
111	VIII	PhCH ₂	H	5-Me		1935	30.016	+	+	+	+
112	VIII	H	H	6-Me		/28	50.328	_	_	_	NA
113	VIII	PhCH ₂	H	o-Me		1951	30.983	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	+
114	VIII	H DhCU	H	7-Me		/10	48.104	_	_	_	_
115	VIII		П	7-Me		1932	29.031	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	+
110			п	5-CI		1025	49.909	_	_	+	+
117			П	5-CI		1933	106 442	Т	Т	- -	- -
110		П DhCU	Me	5-CI		2082	70.800	a		- -	- -
119	VIII		ме ц	5 Pr		2085	19.899	_	_		- -
120	VIII		11 U	J-DI 5 Dr		1025	49.909	1		- -	- -
121		н пст12 Н	н	5-B1		710	10 000	_	_	⊥ ⊥	- -
122	v 111 \/TTI	PhCH.	и Ц	5-1 5-F		1025	47.909	+	+	F +	
123	VIII	H	Н	5-CO-Me		1002	142 059		_	- -	_
125	VIII	PhCH ₂	Н	5-CO ₂ Me		2612	108 328	a	_	+	+
125	VIII	H	Н	5-CN		835	57 445	_	_	- -	NA
120	VIII	PhCH ₂	Н	5-CN		2152	32,357	<i>a</i>	+	_	NA
128	VIII	PhCH ₂	Н	5-NHAc		2934	124,257	<i>a</i>	_	_	11/1
						_,					
^a Active i	in vivo. ^b NA	= not availabl	e.								

established. A novel pendenticity based index termed as *superpendentic index* has been conceptualized in the present investigation. This index can be easily calculated from *pendent matrix*, a submatrix of distance matrix. Superpendentic index takes into consideration all pendent vertexes. The index value changes significantly with a small change

in the branching of a molecule. The disproportionate increase in the index value with minor change in the structure of a molecule had to be rationalized by taking square root of the summation. Superpendentic index is based on the simple fact that a necessary condition for drug-receptor interaction is proper fit like lock and key.

Table 2. Relative Distribution of Compounds with Respect to in Vitro Antiulcer Activity

	val	ue	total no. of compds		correctly class	accuracy (%)		
range	W	$\int^{\mathbf{p}}$	W	∫p	W	ſp	W	∫p
lower inactive	≤1450	≤28.0	93	34	70	26	75.27	76.47
active	1450-1975	28.1-32.5	20	15	14	10	70.00	66.67
upper inactive	≥1975	≥32.5	15	79	9	54	60.00	68.35

Table 3. Relative Distribution of Compounds with Respect to in Vivo Antiulcer Activity

	value		total no. o	of compds	correctly clas	accuracy (%)		
range	W	\int^{p}	W	∫p	W	ſp	W	∫p
lower inactive active upper inactive	≤1450 ≥1450	≤ 28.0 28.1-32.5 ≥ 32.5	60 25	16 11 58	48 20	13 9 38	80.00 80.00	81.25 81.81 65.51

The utility of superpendentic with regard to antiulcer activity is reported in a data set consisting of 128 analogues of 4-substituted-2-guanidino thiazoles. These analogues are reversible, competitive, and selective inhibitors of gastric H^+,K^+ -ATPase enzyme. Both active and inactive compounds were included in the set by random selection. Superpendentic index value was computed for each analogue. The active analogues were found to be present in the narrow range of index values, thus, facilitating rapid identification of the active range.

Using superpendentic index the active range was the same for both in vitro and in vivo activity, but the correlation of the index was relatively better with in vivo activity. The study revealed the following information

•The percentage accuracy of lower inactive, active, and upper inactive ranges of in vivo activity was either comparable or better than the corresponding individual ranges of in vitro activity.

•A total of 60 out of 85 compounds were classified correctly in both active and inactive ranges.

•An active range was observed having the superpendentic index value of 28.1–32.5. As many as 82% of compounds exhibited antiulcer activity. Surprisingly, the active range was narrow irrespective of numerous basic structures.

The accuracy of classification for active and inactive ranges are compiled in Tables 2 and 3. Similarly, the study using Wiener's index revealed following information

•Upper inactive range was not observed for in vivo activity. Ideally, an active range should be bracketed by inactive ranges i.e., lower inactive range and upper inactive range.

•A total of 66 out of 85 compounds were classified correctly in both active and inactive ranges.

•An active range with index value of more than 1450 was observed. The accuracy of classification in the active range was 80%.

The possibility of a compound proved inactive in vitro to be active in vivo is remote; therefore, only those compounds were considered which exhibited antiulcer activity in vitro. Using a superpendentic index the percentage accuracy of classification with respect to in vivo activity in the active range was found to be better than that using Wiener's index. The superpendentic index has proved the degenerating power with regard to antiulcer activity in a data set consisting of relatively large number of analogues. The simplicity in calculation of this index can also be exploited in other QSPR/ QSAR studies. Such studies can ultimately provide valuable leads for the development of potent therapeutic agents.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- Martin, Y. C. In *Quantitative Drug Design*; Dekker Press: New York, 1978.
- (2) Trinajistic, N. In *Chemical Graph Theory*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1983; Vols. I and II.
- (3) Balaban, A. T.; Motoc, J.; Bonchev, D.; Mekennyan, O. Topological indices for structure activity correlations. *Top. Curr. Chem.* 1983, *114*, 21–55.
- (4) Kier, L. B.; Hall, L. H. In Molecular Connectivity in Structure Activity Analysis: Research Studies Press Ltd.: Letchworth, England, 1986.
- (5) Katritzky, A. R.; Gordeeva, E. V. Traditional topological indices versus electronic, geometric and combined molecular descriptors in QSAR/ QSPR research. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1993, 33, 835–57.
- (6) Basak, S. C.; Bertlsen, S.; Grunwold, G. D. Applications of graph theoretical parameters in quantifying molecular similarity and structure–activity relationships. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1994, 34, 270–6.
- (7) Estrada, E.; Ramirez, A. Edge adjacency relationships and molecular topographic descriptors: Definition and QSAR applications. J. Chem. Inf. Comput Sci. 1996, 36, 837–43.
- (8) Randić, M. On characterization of molecular branching. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 97, 6609–15.
- (9) Kier, L. B.; Hall, L. H.; Murray W. J.; Randić, M. Molecular connectivity I: Relationship to nonspecific local anaesthesia. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 1975, 64, 1971–4.
- (10) Hosoya H. Topological index: Newly proposed quantity characterizing the topological nature of structure of isomers of saturated hydrocarbons. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1971**, *44*, 2332–7.
- (11) Hosoya, H. Topological index as a strong sorting device for coding chemical structures. J. Chem. Doc. **1972**, 12, 181–3.
- (12) Balaban, A. T.; Chiriac, A.; Motoc I.; Simon, Z. Steric fit in QSAR. Lect. Notes Chem. 1980, 15, 22–7.
- (13) Balaban, A. T. Hightly discriminating distance based topological index. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1982**, 89, 399–404.
- (14) Balaban, A. T.; Filip, P. Computer program for topological index J. (average distance sum connectivity). J. Math. Chem. 1984, 16, 163– 90.
- (15) Balaban, A. T. Applications of graph theory in chemistry. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1985, 25, 334–43.
- (16) Randić, M.; Guo, X.; Oxely, T.; Krishnapriyan, H. Wiener matrix: Source of novel Graph Invariants. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1993, 33, 709–16.
- (17) Randić, M.; Guo, X.; Oxely, T.; Krishnapriyan, H.; Naylor, L. Wiener matrix invariants. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1994, 34, 361–67.
- (18) Kier, L. B.; Hall, L. H. In *Molecular Connectivity in Chemistry and Drug Research*; Academic Press: New York, 1976.
- (19) Sablic, A.; Trinajstic, N. Quantitative structure activity relationships: The role of topological indices *Acta Pharm. Jugsol.* **1981**, *31*, 189–214.
- (20) Rouvery D. H. The limits of applicability of topological indices. J. Mol. Struct. (THEOCHEM) 1989, 185, 187–201.
- (21) Muller, W. R.; Szymanski, K.; Knop, J. V.; Trinajistić, N.; Molecular topological index J. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1990, 30, 160–63.
- (22) Galvez, J.; Garcia-Domenec, R.; Julian-Ortiz, J. V.; Soler R. Topological approach to drug design. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1995, 35, 272–84.

SUPERPENDENTIC INDEX: A TOPOLOGICAL DESCRIPTOR

- (23) Garcia-Domenech, R.; deGregario-Alapont C.; deJulian-Ortiz, J. V.; Galvez, J.; Popa, L. Molecular connectivity to find β-blockers with low toxicity. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1997**, *7*, 567–72.
- (24) Sharma, V.; Goswami, R.; Madan, A. K. Eccentric connectivity index: A novel highly discriminating topological descriptor for structure property and structure activity studies. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1997, 37, 273–82.
- (25) Asare, P. B.; Habte, T.; Yirgou, B.; Benjamin, J. Prostaglandins: H2receptor antagonists and peptic ulcer disease. *Drugs* **1988**, *35*, 1–9.
- (26) Korolkovoas, A. Essentials of Medicinal Chemistry, 2nd ed.; Wiley-Interscience Pub.: New York, 1988; pp 551–52.
- (27) McFarland, R. J.; Bateson, M. C.; Green, J. R. B.; Dronfield, M. W.; Keeling, P. W. N.; Burke G. J. Omeprazole provides quicker symptom relief and duodenal ulcer healing than ranitidine. *J. Gastroentrology* **1990**, *98*, 278–83.
- (28) LaMattina, J. L.; McCarthy, P. A.; Reiter, L. A.; Holt W. F.; Yeh, L. A.; 4-substuituted-2-guanidino thiazoes: Reversible competitive and selective inhibitors of gastric H⁺K⁺-ATPase. *J. Med. Chem.* **1990**, *33*, 543–52.

CI980073Q